

COUNCIL COMMUNICATION

AGENDA TITLE: Request by San Joaquin Warehouse Company, 6161 East Highway 12 for

City Water Service

MEETING DATE: July 17, 1991

PREPARED BY: Public Works Director

RECOMMENDED ACTION: That the City Council discuss and take appropriate action.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION: The City of Lodi received the attached letter from San

Joaquin Warehouse Company (formerly Roma Winery) dated May 15, 1991 requesting permanent domestic water service

(see attached Exhibit A).

The City Code reads as follows with respect to providing waier service outside the City limits:

13.08-020 Rates outside city.

The city will not normally serve water outside the city limits. In those cases where it is authorized by the city council, the rates shall be established by resolution of the city council. (Ord. 1333 § 1(9)(I), 1984: prior code § 26-2)

The above City Code section requires that the City Council authorize any serv ce outside the City limits.

In 1948, the City of Lodi, as part of its negotiations for purchasing sites for old Well 10 and 11 (both of which are now abandoned) and the easement for the interconnecting 14" and 12" mains, entered into agreements with Roma, East-Side, and Guild Wineries indicating that the City would provide standby fire protection. These wineries have been paying for standby City water for fire protection since 1948. These agreements also had provisions for the City providing them with "surplus water" for industrial and domestic uses. The request by San Joaquin Warehouse Company (SJWC) for industrial and domestic water is for a standard City water service and not for surplus water.

The City of Lodi is presently serving the following parcels outside the City with standard City water service. These parcels, along with SJWC, are outside the existing City limits but are all within our 2007 Planning Limits of the newly adopted General Plan.

The state of the s		
	Thos U	10%
APPROVED:	mos. a. Selecon	
	THOMAS A. PETERSON	unchange bedan.
	City Manager	Total State Support

San Joaquin Warehouse Company July 17, 1991 Page 2

Type of Service

All State Packers, Inc. 6011 East Pine Street

6011 East Pine Street Residence

6051 East Pine Street

Residence

6093 East Pine Street Nazarene Church

1207 East Highway 12 (Kettleman Lane)

Metered

E1. (D. ()

Flat Rate

Flat Rate

Metered

It is strongly recommended that the City does not serve "surplus water". The City does not want to be in a position where it has to make a determination to shut a customer's water off because there is no longer surplus water. Therefore, if we're going to serve water outside the City limits, it should be a standard water service. SIMC has a number of industrial uses **on** their site, all of which would be considered dry industry with water only being used for sanitary purposes. Serving SIMC with City water should be conditioned upon the following requirements:

- 1. That SJWC agree to all applicable conditions of the Lodi City Code related to water service, cross connections, water conservation, etc.
- 2. That SJWC pay the established outside City water rate.
- 3. That SIWC pay the impact mitigation fee for water which the City Council will be approving in August of 1991. This fee will be approximately \$1,400 per acre as shown on the draft fee schedule attached as Exhibit B. The City's last three annexations of commercial property have agreed to pay the future impact fees. The only impact fee applicable to this request would be the water impact fee.
- 4. That SIMC enter into a letter-type agreement covering the above items together with a statement not to protest future annexation. Agreements with existing water users outside the City limits have included a statement that reads as follows:

"The undersigned do hereby agree that, in the event that the property owned by the Undersigned and served by this subject water service is included in any petition for annexation to the City, the undersigned will agree to said annexation proceedings and will not file an objection to same."

On June 25, 1991, the City met with SJWC, East-Side Winery and All State Packers to discuss the pros and cons of annexation. SJWC is presently interested in annexation, however, they cannot annex without East-Side Winery also annexing.

FUNDING: Not applicable.

Jack A. Ronsko

Rublid Works Director

AND THE RESERVE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PROPERT

Attachments

cc: City Attorney

San Joaquin Warehouse Company

San Joaquin Warehouse Company

P.O. Box 728 Lodi, CA 95241 (209)334-4164

May 15,1991

Mr. Jack Ronsco City of Lodi Director Of Public Works P.O. Box 3006 Lodi, CA 95241

RE: Water Service



Mr. Ronsco:

Over the past several years the City of Lodi has provided San Joaquin Warehouse Company with water for it's backup fire system.

In light of current requests from the city for our company to provide a new backflow device at our connection to your service, it would seem to be an appropriate time to request water service for the whole facility. Currently our water is provided by private wells. San Joaquin Warehouse is no longer using large quantities of water as in years past when the winery was in operation. The facility basically needs water for sanitary facilities and human consumption.

I would like this letter to serve as formal application for water service from the City of Lodi.

I am looking forward to working with you in this regard in the near future.

Sincerely,

David C. Sproul

Manager-San Joaquin Warehouse Co.

Fee/Acre

\$5.380

\$10,540

\$16.410

\$5,380

\$5,380

\$10,540

\$16,410

\$10,220

\$20,550

\$10,220

\$17,590

\$10,760

\$6,830

1991 Fee and Service Charge Schedule

Revised Draft -Development Impact Mitigation Fees 6/20/91 RAE = Residential Acre Equivalent Water Sewer Storm Drainage Streets Total Fee LadUse Category Fee/Acre per Acre RAE Fee/Acre RAE RAE Fee/Acre RAE Residential \$7,380 \$5,500 \$1,080 1.00 1.00 Low Density \$39,160 1.00 1.00 \$10,780 \$7,380 1.96 \$59.820 1.96 1.96 \$2,120 1.00 Medium Density 3.49 \$19,200 3.49 \$3,770 1.00 \$7,380 3.05 High Density \$105,200 \$5,500 1.00 \$1,080 1.00 \$7,380 1.00 East Side Residential \$41,130 1.00 \$5,500 1.00 \$7,380 1.00 1.00 \$1.080 1.00 Planned Low Density \$39,160 \$59,820 1.96 \$10,780 1.96 \$2,120 1.00 \$7,380 1.96 Planned Med. Density 1.00 57,380 Planned High Density \$105,200 3.49 \$19,200 3.49 \$3.770 3.05 Commercial

\$3,520

\$3,520

\$3,520

\$3,520

\$1,430

\$1,430

0.94

0.94

0.94

0.94

0.42

0.42

\$1,020

\$1,020

\$1.020

\$1,020

4450

\$450

1.33

1.33

1.33

1.33

1.33

1.33

99,820

\$9.820

\$9,820

\$9,820

\$9.820

\$9.820

1.90

3.82

1.90

3.27

2.00

1.27

0.64

0.64

0.64

0.64

0.26

0.26

\$40,280

\$48,270

\$40,280

\$53,530

\$29,930

\$28,870

	Police	ĺ	Fire		Parks & I	Recreation	General	City
	RAE	Fee/Acre	RAE	Fee/Acre	RAE	Fee/Acre	RAE	Fee/Acre
Residential		1				·		
Low Density	1.00	\$1,130	1.00	\$510	1.00	\$11,810	1.00	\$6.370
Medium Density	1.77	\$2,000	1.96	\$1,000	1.43	\$16,890	1.43	\$9,110
High Density	4.72	\$5,330	4.32	\$2,200	2.80	\$33,070	2.30	\$17,840
East Side Residential	1.09	\$1,230	1.10	\$560	1.10	\$12,990	1.10	\$7,010
Planned Low Density	1.00	\$1,130	1.00	\$510	1.00	\$11,810	1.00	\$6,370
Planned Med. Density	1.77	\$2,000	1.96	\$1,000	1.43	\$16,890	1.43	\$9,110
Planned High Density	4.72	\$5,330	4.32	\$2,200	2.80	\$33,070	2.80	\$17,840
Commercial		į				}		
Neighborhood	4.28	\$4,840	2.77	\$1.410	0.32	\$3.780	0.89	\$5,670
General	2.59	\$2,930	1.93	\$980	0.32	\$3,780	0.89	55,870
Downtown	4.28	\$4,840	2.77	41.410	0.32	\$3.780	0.89	\$5.070
Office	3.72	\$4,200	2,46	\$1,250	0.54	363,380	1.53	\$9,750
Industrial						1		
Light	0.30	\$340	0.64	\$330	0.23	\$2,720	0.64	\$4,080
Heavy	0.19	\$210	0.61	\$310	0.33	\$3,900	0.93	\$5 ,92 0

See Note 4.

Neighborhood

General

Office

Industrial

Light

Heavy

Downtown

Reference: LMC \$15.64.xxx & Resolution 91-xxx

Notes

- 1. This schedule is a summary only; refer to the reference cited for details of applicability end interpretations.
- 2_ LMC = Lodi Municipal Code; PWD = Public Works Department
- 3. Fees must be paid before work is scheduled or applicable Map/Permit issued.
- 4. Special area assessments or charges required by reimbursement agreements are not included in this summary.

Approved: Jack L. Ronsko, Public Works Director Date